

(SMT. YASHODARA DASAPPA)

(c) A complaint against Vidyarthi Prasad Nilaya, Koppal, was received on 27th October 1964. No such complaint against Kurubar Boarding Home was received.

(d) (1) The allegations have been enquired into and the audited statement of accounts for the years 1960-61, 1961-62 and 1962-63 have been found to be correct.

(2) The allegation regarding failure to account for a sum of Rs. 3,000 received by the Honorary Secretary of the Institution from Smt. Amin Kotrawwa Trust, which is stated to be received by the Honorary Secretary his personal capacity and not towards the hostel accounts, is being further enquired into.

(3) The Management has been directed to convene a General Body Meeting failing which it is informed that the grant-in-aid will not be sanctioned.

Concessions given to Ex-Gramasudharakas.

*Q.—285. Sri C. V. VENKATARAYAPPA (Chickballapur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) whether it is a fact that in Government Order No. R. 8998-9000/LR-8-54-22, dated 18th September 1954, Ex-Gramasudharakas were given some concessions ;

(b) if so, what are the concessions given to them ;

(c) the number of Gramasudharakas who have submitted their applications for such concessions ;

(d) whether all of them have been given these concessions and if not, how many were given this benefit ?

A.—Sri M. V. KRISHNAPPA (Minister for Revenue).—

(a) Yes.

(b) (i) Treating the break of service as leave ;

(ii) Fixing pay in Grade Rs. 40-2-50-3-80.

(c) 29.

(d) These concessions have been given in 27 cases.

Development of Ghataprabha Valley Project.

*Q.—519. Sri R. M. DESAI (Bilgi).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) the total estimated cost for the development of Ghataprabha Valley Project ;

(b) the amount spent on Stage I, II and III ,

(c) what is the gross command area under Ghataprabha Left Bank Canal ;

(d) what is the actual command area under the Ghataprabha Left Bank Canal ;

(e) whether it is a fact that the potentials created for irrigation there is much less than the actual command ?

A.—Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA (Chief Minister).—

(a) Probable cost is Rs. 4,613-00 lakhs.

(b) Stage I Rs. 5,57,08,686 } to end of January

Stage II Rs. 4,70,72,535 } 1965.

Stage III Rs. Nil—work yet to be sanctioned.

(c) 4,45,800 acres.

(d) 2,98,000 acres after completion of the Hidkal Dam.

(e) Full potential under Stage I is practically created.

NON-OFFICIAL RESOLUTION

Re: Appointment of a Committee to assess the properties owned by Ministers, Legislators, etc., after 1947.

† ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಆರ್. ಕೇಶವಮೂರ್ತಿ (ಗಂಡನಿ).—ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರೇ, ನನ್ನ ನಿರ್ಣಯ ಈ ರೀತಿ ಇದೆ:

“ರಾಜ್ಯದಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು, ಮಾಜಿ-ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು, ಶಾಸಕರುಗಳು, ಮಾಜಿ-ಶಾಸಕರುಗಳು, ಶಾಸನ ಮಂಡಲಗಳ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರುಗಳು, ಮಾಜಿ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರುಗಳು, ಸದಸ್ಯರುಗಳು ಹಾಗೂ ಮಾಜಿ ಸದಸ್ಯರುಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ಕೈಗಾರಿಕೋದ್ಯಮಿಗಳು ಹಾಗೂ ವ್ಯಾಪಾರಿಗಳೂ ಸಹ, 1947ನೆಯ ಇಸವಿಯ ನಂತರ ಹೊಂದಿರುವ ಜರ ಹಾಗೂ ಸ್ಥಿರ ಆಸ್ತಿಗಳನ್ನು ಗೊತ್ತುಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೋಸ್ಕರ ಆಯೋಗವೊಂದನ್ನು ನೇಮಿಸಲು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರಿಗೆ ಈ ಸಭೆಯವರು ಶಿಫಾರಸ್ಸು ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾರೆ.”

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಮಂಡಿಸಿರುವ ನಿರ್ಣಯ ಈ ರೀತಿ ಇದೆ:

“ರಾಜ್ಯದಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು, ಮಾಜಿ-ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು, ಶಾಸಕರುಗಳು, ಮಾಜಿ-ಶಾಸಕರುಗಳು, ಶಾಸನ ಮಂಡಲಗಳ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರುಗಳು, ಮಾಜಿ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರುಗಳು, ಸದಸ್ಯರುಗಳು ಹಾಗೂ ಮಾಜಿ ಸದಸ್ಯರುಗಳು, ಮತ್ತು ಕೈಗಾರಿಕೋದ್ಯಮಿಗಳು, ಹಾಗೂ ವ್ಯಾಪಾರಿಗಳೂ ಸಹ, 1947ನೆಯ ಇಸವಿಯ ನಂತರ ಹೊಂದಿರುವ ಜರ ಹಾಗೂ ಸ್ಥಿರ ಆಸ್ತಿಗಳನ್ನು ಗೊತ್ತುಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೋಸ್ಕರ ಆಯೋಗವೊಂದನ್ನು ನೇಮಿಸಲು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರಿಗೆ ಈ ಸಭೆಯವರು ಶಿಫಾರಸ್ಸು ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾರೆ.”

Mr. SPEAKER.—Now, before I go further, I do not know how this “ಶಾಸನ ಮಂಡಲಗಳ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರುಗಳು, ಮಾಜಿ-ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರುಗಳು,” can come in. Can the Hon'ble Member explain how it is in order? The only motion that could be made against a Presiding Officer is with regard to his being removed. The statutory provision is, that they are to be removed and no other motion can be brought before the House.

Sri H. R. KESHAVA MURTHY.—It is not Presiding Officer Sir. It refers to the Chairman of Corporations.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Let it be corrected; I will read the English version:

“This Assembly recommends to Government to appoint a Commission to assess the movable and immovable properties, owned after the year 1947 by the Ministers, ex-Ministers